

REMARKS

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6224769 to Hasegawa. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Objection is made to claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph, for failure to further limit the scope of device claim 8. Claim 7 is allowed.

The Office Action and prior art relied upon have been carefully considered. In an effort to expedite the prosecution the rejected claims have been cancelled and allowed claim 7 is retained. Accordingly, the application should now be allowed.

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are, therefore, respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve to advance the prosecution of this application in any way, the undersigned attorney is available at the telephone number noted below.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayment, associated with this communication, including any extension fees, to CBLH Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 21029-00287-US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: November 27, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Morris Liss/
Morris Liss

Registration No.: 24,510
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant